# Natural and artificial ageing in aluminium alloys - the role of excess vacancies

Zi Yang and John Banhart

Helmholtz-Centre Berlin for Materials and Energy, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, 14109 Berlin, Germany

# **Supplementary Material**

#### S1. Parameters used for the simulations

The parameters related to solute diffusion used in the simulations are all taken from Mantina et al. [1]. They were calculated by first principles using the local density approximation to density functional theory, see Table. S1. The atomic jump frequencies  $w_k$ , k = 0..4, are calculated from Eq. (4) of Ref. [1] the correlation factor  $f_2$  from Eqs. (2, 3) of Ref. [1] for a given temperature and atom species.

Table S1. Diffusion parameters as taken from Mantina et al. [	1	]	•
---	---	---	---

atom <i>i</i>	$\Delta H_{f,0}$ / $\Delta S_{f,0}$	$\Delta H_{m,0}$	$v_0^*$	$ \Delta H^{i}_{m,k} \qquad v^{*,i}_{k} \\ (k=14) \qquad (k=14) $		$\Delta H_b^i$ / $\Delta S_b^i$
	$(eV) / (k_B)$	(eV)	(THz)	(eV)	(THz)	$(eV) / (k_B)$
Al	0.71 / 1.21	0.58	16.6			
Mg				0.68	21.8	-0.07 / -0.27
-				0.42	18.6	
				0.50	13.3	
				0.57	17.1	
Si				0.52	10.9	0.11 / 0.44
				0.55	15.7	
				0.66	22.3	
				0.55	13.7	
used in Eqs.	$(5,7): x_{v-eq}$	(6): $\widetilde{D}_{eq}$		(3): $w_2, f_2$	(3): $w_2, f_2$	(8): $x_{v-eq,trp}^{i}$
	(6): $\widetilde{D}_{eq}$			(6): $\widetilde{D}_{eq}$	(6): $\widetilde{D}_{eq}$	(6): $\widetilde{D}_{eq}$

 $\Delta H_{f,0}, \Delta S_{f,0}$ : free (index '0') vacancy formation enthalpy and entropy,  $\Delta G_{f,0} = \Delta H_{f,0} - T \Delta S_{f,0}$  $\Delta H_{m,0}, (\Delta S_{m,0})$ : free (index '0') vacancy migration enthalpy\*

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Delta H^i_{m,k} \ (\Delta S^i_{m,k}): \text{ solute migration enthalpy}^* \ \text{of the 5 jumps and species } i. \ k=2 \ \text{is solute-vacancy exchange.} \\ k=0 \ \text{represents vacancy migration in the free Al lattice, hence } \Delta H^i_{m,0} = \Delta H_{m,0} \ \text{for all } i. \\ v^{*,i}_k & \text{effective frequency in transition state theory (TST) } (v^*_0: \text{ fully in Al matrix}) \\ \Delta H^i_b, \Delta S^i_b: & \text{solute-vacancy binding enthalpy and entropy, } \Delta G^i_b = \Delta H^i_b - T\Delta S^i_b \ \text{for species } i \end{array}$ 

\* migration entropies also available from Ref. [2] but not used here to maintain consistency of data.

The average diffusion coefficient  $\widetilde{D}_{eq}$  is calculated by:

$$\widetilde{D}_{eq} = a^2 \left( \left( 1 - \sum_i c^i \right) f_0 \cdot v_0^* \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{m,0}}{kT}} + \sum_i c^i f_2^i \cdot v_2^{*,i} \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{m,2}^i}{kT}} e^{\frac{\Delta G_b^i}{kT}} \right) e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{f,0}}{kT}} e^{\frac{\Delta S_{f,0}}{T}}.$$

Vacancy trapping by clusters is guided by the following considerations:

- Vacancy-cluster interaction energy ΔH<sup>cl</sup><sub>b</sub>: 0.2 eV at onset of ageing, 0.306 eV after short ageing (α=0.2), 0.581 eV after advanced ageing (α~0.7) (energy values from Ref. [3], α values estimated),
- Cluster number density increases linearly from 0 to  $6 \times 10^{24}$  m<sup>-3</sup> (i.e. site fraction  $c^{cl}$  from 0 to  $10^{-4}$ ) during ageing.

Hence the parametrisation:  $\Delta H_b^{cl} = (0.2 + 0.53 \alpha) [eV]$  and  $c^{cl} = 10^{-4} \alpha$  is applied.

Table	S2.	Further	parameters	in	calcul	lations.
	~ - •		p			

а	R	$n_{\mathrm{jog}}$	$n_d$	$n_p$	$f_0$	$T_{cs}$	dT/dt	
0.405 nm	25 µm	$3.7 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$	$7.5 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$	50	0.7815	200 °C	1000 Ks <sup>-1</sup>	
<i>a</i> :	lattice constant of aluminium							
<i>R</i> :	grain radius (determined by light microscopy)							
$n_{\text{jog}} = \frac{n_d}{n_p a}$ :	jog density derived from dislocation density $n_d$ and jog spacing $n_p$ . This value is not very							
	well kn	own. $n_d = 10^{11}  \text{m}$	n <sup>-2</sup> has been used in	n a previ	ous calculation	ns [4], $n_d =$	$3 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ by}$	
	others is	ncluding the curr	ent author's group	<b>o</b> [5, 6]. I	n Ref. [5] it w	vas noted tha	t such a value	
	explained initial quenching from 540 °C well, but that subsequent operations at lower							
	tempera	atures, e.g. at 180	°C, indicated a ma	rkedly h	igher value. Po	ossibly, n <sub>d</sub> cl	hanges during	
	thermal	processing. The	value chosen here	is deem	ed a comprom	ise between	various cases.	
	The qua	antity $n_p$ is also	not known preci	sely. Va	lues of 100 h	ave been gi	ven based on	
	theoreti	cal consideration	us [7]. As n <sub>p</sub> can	be con	npensated by	$n_d$ without	changing the	
	relevant quantity $n_{jog}$ , there is a lot of space for finding values.							
$f_0$ :	fcc corr	elation factor (=0	0.7815)					
$T_{cs}$ :	cluster	start temperature	. Determines that	during i	nitial quenchi	ing from the	solutionising	
	tempera	ature, precipitatio	on does not set i	n above	$T_{cs}$ in account	dance with	experimental	
	finding	s in Ref. <mark>[8]</mark> . Vari	ations of this valu	e within	the margin se	t by other so	urces (160 °C	
	as calcu	lated by Ref. [9]	, 175 °C and possi	ibly high	er for excess ]	Mg [10]) hav	ve only a very	
	small ef	ffect on results.						
dT/dt:	average	quenching rate	e from the solut	tionising	temperature	(540 °C) t	to 0 °C. The	
	tempera	ature course appl	ied is a decaying	exponer	ntial so that th	ne cooling ra	ate is initially	
	higher.							

#### S2. Equations of the trapping model

Temporary trapping of vacancies by solute atoms is an important ingredient of the model applied. We follow the formalism proposed by Lomer and extend the model by optional trapping by clusters (all of equal size).

Among *N* lattice sites we distinguish between sites where vacancies are adjacent ('trapped') to one of the solute atoms *i*, site fraction  $c^i$ , z = 12 neighbouring sites, or adjacent to one of the clusters containing  $N^{cl}$  atoms each and having site fractions  $c^{cl}$  and with  $z^{cl}$  neighbouring sites, or at the remaining matrix sites. The number of vacancies in equilibrium in each of the three cases is given by the vacancy formation free enthalpy  $\Delta G_{f,0} = \Delta H_{f,0} - T\Delta S_{f,0}$ , see Table S1:

$$N_{v-eq,mat} = N \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) \left(1 - (z+1)\sum_{i} c^{i} - (z^{cl} + N^{cl})c^{cl}\right)$$
(S1)

$$N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i} = N \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) z c^{i} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{b}^{i}}{kT}\right)$$
(S2)

$$N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{\rm cl} = N \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) z^{\rm cl} c^{\rm cl} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta H_b^{\rm cl}}{kT}\right)$$
(S3)

The total number of vacancies anywhere is then the sum of the three:

$$N_{\nu-eq} = N_{\nu-eq,mat} + \sum_{i} N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i} + N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{cl} = N \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) \times \underbrace{\left(1 - (z+1)\sum_{i} c^{i} - (z^{cl} + N^{cl})c^{cl}\right) + \sum_{i} zc^{i} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{b}^{i}}{kT}\right) + z_{cl}c^{cl} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta H_{b}^{cl}}{kT}\right)}_{L}$$
(84)

The term under the brace we call the 'Lomer factor', L

By dividing the numbers in Eqs. (S1, S2) by the number of matrix or trap sites we obtain the probability of a matrix or trap site of being occupied by a vacancy:

$$x_{\nu-eq,mat} = \frac{N_{\nu-eq,mat}}{N(1-(z+1)\sum_{i}c^{i}-(z^{cl}+N^{cl})c^{cl})} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right)$$
(S5)

= *local* site fraction in matrix wrt. actual matrix lattice sites excluding lattice sites around solutes or clusters, and

$$x_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i} = \frac{N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i}}{Nzc^{i}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0} - \Delta G_{b}^{i}}{kT}\right)$$
(S6)

= *local* site fraction around solute of type *i* wrt. actual trap lattice sites. In analogy  $x_{v-eq,trp}^{cl}$ .

Hence:

$$x_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i} = x_{\nu-eq,mat} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{b}^{i}}{kT}\right),\tag{S7}$$

By dividing the numbers in Eqs. (S1-S3) by the total lattice sites N, *average* site fractions are obtained, which receive the letter y:

$$y_{\nu-eq,mat} = \frac{N_{\nu-eq,mat}}{N} = \left(1 - (z+1)\sum_{i} c^{i} - (z^{cl} + N^{cl})c^{cl}\right) \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right)}_{x_{\nu-eq,mat}}$$
(S8)

$$y_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i} = \frac{N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i}}{N} = zc^{i} \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{b}^{i}}{kT}\right)}_{x_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i}}$$
(S9)

$$y_{\nu-eq,trp}^{cl} = \frac{N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{cl}}{N} = z^{cl} c^{cl} \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta H_b^{cl}}{kT}\right)}_{x_{\nu-eq,trp}^{cl}}$$
(S10)

And therefore

$$y_{\nu-eq} = \frac{N_{\nu-eq,mat} + \sum_{i} N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i} + N_{\nu-eq,trp}^{cl}}{N} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right) \times L$$
(S11)

The total equilibrium vacancy site fraction in a defect-free lattice,  $\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{f,0}}{kT}\right)$ , is therefore increased by the Lomer factor. Note that the y quantities do not have to be calculated in the simulation, thus they are not introduced in the main paper. They are shown here to present the conservation of total vacancies during vacancy trapping and repartitioning since only these quantities can be summed up in the style of Eq. (S11). For the total vacancy site fraction we have:

$$y_{v-eq} = x_{v-eq} \tag{S12}$$

By inserting Eq. (S9) into Eq. (S11) we obtain the inverse Lomer equation Eq. (8) in the main paper used for determining  $x_{\nu-eq,trp}^{i}$  to be used in the precipitation equation (3). It is not restricted to equilibrium, which is why we drop the index 'eq':

$$x_{\nu,trp}^{i} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\Delta G_{b}^{i}}{kT}\right)}{L} x_{\nu}$$
(S13)

The calculations in Secs. 4.2. and 4.3 are carried out without cluster trapping, i.e. all terms indexed with "cl" in above equations are neglected. In Eq. (S1) we tacitly assume that the decreasing number of solute atoms and the associated trapping is implicitly compensated by increasing cluster trapping.

In Sec. 4.4 we include cluster trapping explicitly. The four cluster-related quantities  $z^{cl}$ ,  $N^{cl}$ ,  $c^{cl}$  and  $\Delta H_b^{cl}$  are treated in reasonable approximations:

- $z^{cl} = z = 12$ , i.e. the cluster is treated as a point like defect
- $(z^{cl} + N^{cl})c^{cl}$  in Eq. (S1) is neglected because  $c^{cl} \ll c^i$
- $c^{cl}$  and  $\Delta H_b^{cl}$  are assumed to increase continuously with the progress of clustering based on reported cluster number densities/site fractions and vacancy-cluster binding energies, see main paper and Sec. S1 above.

In order to avoid double counting of trapping sites, we replace all terms  $c^i$  in above equations by  $c^i(1-\alpha^i)$ . Thus, as cluster trapping increases, solute trapping decreases, both linearly with progressing clustering.

Francis and Curtin have derived equations for the trapping of vacancies by a single solute type with the objective of engineering the vacancy site fraction [11]. Their results are based on more general and exact thermodynamic considerations than those by Lomer but contain Lomer's equations as a limiting case. Their Eqs. (5a, 5b) describe the concentration of vacancies not associated to a solute and those in the vicinity of solute atoms at thermal equilibrium and correspond to above Eqs. (S8, S9), respectively. This can be seen by considering only single vacancies, hence  $\eta = z + 1 = 13$  in their equations. Next, their definition of the solute-vacancy binding energy differs from ours by the sign. Replacing the absolute energy of solute-vacancy complexes in their Eqs. by the binding energy we obtain

'concentration of vacancies in the matrix':  $c_V = y_{v-eq,mat}$ 

'concentration of solute/vacancy complexes':

$$c_i = \frac{y_{\nu-eq,trp}^i}{1 + \frac{y_{\nu-eq,trp}^i}{c^i}} \approx y_{\nu-eq,trp}^i$$
(S14)

Where only on the l.h.s of these equations the notation of Ref. [11] is used. In the denominator of the latter equation  $\frac{y_{\nu-eq,trp}^i}{c^i} = z x_{\nu-eq,trp}^i$  using Eq. (S8, S9).  $x_{\nu-eq,trp}^i$  never exceeds  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  as shown in Fig. 4a and hence the denominator is very close to 1.

## **S3. The pre-factor** *p*<sub>att</sub>

Eq. (2) contains a dimensionless pre-factor  $p_{att}$  that we have assumed to be constant throughout the calculations. Its value has been fixed to  $8 \times 10^{-6}$  since at this value the ageing curves are divided into an excess and equilibrium part in roughly the same proportions as observed experimentally.

For example, the height of the clustering peak in the DSC curves in Fig. 6a is about 1/3 of that of the high-temperature peak and in Fig. 4d, approximately the same ratio is observed between first and second reaction (horizontal line) in accordance with the experiments.  $p_{att}$  describes how likely it is that a diffusing solute atom reaches a target and forms a complex with it, after which the vacancy detaches again and is available for further diffusion.

Clearly, the site fraction of the target objects is part of  $p_{att}$ . For solute atoms, it ranges around  $c = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  for the alloy considered here. As solutes are replaced by clusters it might drop as clustering proceeds but we keep to the initial value. Therefore, the pre-factor used splits up into  $p_{att} = 5 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.0016 = 8 \times 10^{-6}$ . The remaining unexplained factor 0.0016 contains the attachment probability and possibly other factors.

The attachment of solutes to other solutes is a complicated process governed by a range of interaction energies. A recent first-principle calculation of these energies between 2 atoms (Mg,Si) and one vacancy specifies 7 configurations and next neighbour and second next neighbour values that range from 0.01 to 0.14 eV [12]. The actual attachment might go through various steps, possibly also involving interaction energies between more distant objects that can be repulsive in some cases [13]. The attachment probability would then depend on a combination of such energies and be temperature dependent. We currently have no formalism to calculate such a scenario, but the average value of 0.0016 for the remaining factor does not seem unlikely. Our choice of  $p_{att}$ , although adjusted to experiments, is compatible with some qualitative assumptions of cluster formation.

### S4. Maximum precipitation caused by excess vacancies

We now calculate the maximum precipitated fraction caused by excess vacancies as a function of ageing temperature. For simplicity, only one solute type and no vacancy trapping by clusters is considered in the calculation. The objective is to support interpretation of the numerical results in Fig. 4d by identifying elementary quantities that lead to the behaviour observed there.

First, the total increase of  $\alpha$  can be written as

$$\alpha = \alpha_{ex} + \alpha_{eq},\tag{S15}$$

where subscripts '*ex*' and '*eq*' represent the fractions caused by excess vacancies and equilibrium vacancies, respectively. Similarly, at any moment the local vacancy site fraction at a site around solutes can be considered a sum of equilibrium and excess vacancy site fractions:

 $x_{v,trp} = x_{v-ex,trp} + x_{v-eq,trp},$ 

where  $x_{v-eq,trp}$  is calculated using Eq. (S7). Combining Eqs. (S7, S15, S16) and Eqs. (3, 7) of the main paper, we obtain

$$\frac{d\alpha_{ex}}{dt} = A \cdot (1-\alpha) \cdot f_2 \cdot v_2^* \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{m,2}}{kT}} \cdot e^{\frac{\Delta G_b}{kT}} \cdot (x_{v,mat} - x_{v-eq,mat}).$$
(S17)

Next, we calculate  $x_{v,mat}$  from the kinetic Eq. (6) of the main paper. Note that  $x_{v-eq} = L \cdot x_{v-eq,mat}$  in Eq. (6), where L is the 'Lomer factor' based on vacancy trapping by solutes.

Integrating Eq. (6) for  $x_v$  for isothermal ageing at temperature *T*, and applying  $x_{v,mat} = \frac{x_v}{L}$ , we obtain

$$x_{v,mat} = x_{v-eq,mat} \cdot \left(\frac{x_{v,0}}{L \cdot x_{v-eq,mat}}\right)^{e^{-\left(\frac{15}{R^2} + 2\pi a n_{jog}\right)\frac{\bar{D}_{eq}}{f_0 \cdot L \cdot x_{v-eq,mat}}t}}$$
(S18)

where  $x_{v,0}$  is the initial total vacancy fraction before ageing, which is lower than the equilibrium fraction at 540 °C as some vacancies are lost during quenching. As  $t \to \infty$ ,  $x_{v,mat} \to x_{v-eq,mat}$ .

Now, we combine Eqs. (S17, S18) to integrate  $\alpha_{ex}$ . Since the initial site fraction is several orders of magnitude higher than the equilibrium fraction,  $\alpha_{eq} \ll \alpha_{ex}$  is valid till  $x_{v,mat}$  is very low, after which the increase in  $\alpha_{ex}$  can also be neglected. Therefore we apply an approximation  $\alpha \cong \alpha_{ex}$  in Eq. (S17) for the calculation of  $\alpha_{ex}$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ex} &= 1 - e^{\left[-A \cdot f_2 \cdot v_2^* \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{m,2}}{kT}} \cdot e^{\frac{\Delta G_b}{kT}} \cdot \int_0^\infty (x_{v,mat} - x_{v-eq,mat}) dt\right]} \\ &= 1 - e^{\left[-\frac{A f_0 x_{v,0}}{\left(\frac{15}{R^2} + 2\pi a n_{jog}\right)a^2} \cdot \frac{D_2}{\tilde{D}_{eq}} \cdot L \cdot x_{v-eq,mat} \cdot \left\{Ei\left[\ln\left(\frac{x_{v,0}}{L \cdot x_{v-eq,mat}}\right)\right] - \gamma - \ln\left[\ln\left(\frac{x_{v,0}}{L \cdot x_{v-eq,mat}}\right)\right]\right\}\right]}, \end{aligned}$$
(S19a)

where Ei is the exponential integral function and  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and where  $D_2(T) = a^2 \cdot f_2 \cdot v_2^* \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta H_{m,2}}{kT}} \cdot e^{\frac{\Delta G_b}{kT}} \cdot x_{v-eq,mat}.$ 

Eq. (S19a) can be condensed to

$$\alpha_{\text{ex}}(T) = 1 - e^{\left[-B \cdot \frac{D_2(T)}{D_{eq}(T)} \zeta(T)\right]}$$
(S19b)  
by defining  $B = \frac{Af_0 x_{\nu,0}}{\left(\frac{15}{R^2} + 2\pi a n_{\text{jog}}\right) a^2},$   
and  $\zeta(T) = L \cdot x_{\nu-eq,mat} \cdot \left\{ Ei \left[ \ln \left( \frac{x_{\nu,0}}{L \cdot x_{\nu-eq,mat}} \right) \right] - \gamma - \ln \left[ \ln \left( \frac{x_{\nu,0}}{L \cdot x_{\nu-eq,mat}} \right) \right] \right\}.$ 

These quantities are given in Fig. 8a of the main paper. The temperature dependence of Eq. (S19b) = Eq. (9) of the main paper determines the broken line in Fig. 4b and reflects the hardening anomaly.

# S5. Additional graphs that help to understand details of calculation

In the following, we show some aspects of the simulations not mentioned in the main paper.



Fig. S1. Data presented in Fig. 4d and data calculated in addition with a correction for solute consumption due to clustering in Eq. (7, 8) using the ansatz  $c^i = c_{initial}^i (1 - \kappa^i \alpha^i)$ , where  $\kappa$  is the fraction of solute atoms that after final ageing has been transferred into clusters and precipitates. Atom probe experiments suggest  $\kappa^i$  values between 0.15 and 0.25 for NA and short AA [14-16], but as very small clusters might not be detected as such  $\kappa^i$  could be higher. However, the calculation suggests that application of a correction does hardly influence the results. We therefore assume  $c^i = c_{initial}^i$  in all the calculations to avoid introduction of additional parameters.



Fig. S2. Derivatives of  $x_v$  in the vacancy annihilation model during quenching and subsequent linear heating. 'dislocation jogs' and 'grain boundaries' refer to the two contributions in Eq. (6) of the main paper that remain at a constant fraction during ageing, the first being about 6 times higher. In the pure ternary alloys with their mm-sized grains as compared to the more fine grained alloy 6014 (50 µm grain diameter) in Figure 3 of the main paper, the vacancy annihilation rate would therefore be marginally smaller, provided that the density of dislocation jogs was the same.



Fig. S3. Calculated vacancy site fraction at Si sites during constant heating at 10 K·min<sup>-1</sup>. (a) After NA at 20°C for various times without vacancy trapping by clusters, (b) same with trapping by clusters included, see Sec. 4.4. Without trapping by clusters in a), the vacancy site fraction is reduced to  $10^{-10}$  after  $10^6$  s during NA, whereas in the presence of trapping by clusters this reduction is less pronounced (b). Moreover, in a), during subsequent linear heating the vacancy site fraction decreases towards the equilibrium value (orange line) at the respective temperature, whereas in b) the vacancy site fraction increases for a limited period delimited by the broken line. The reason is that after long NA (e.g.  $3 \times 10^5$  s), 99.5% of all he vacancies are trapped by clusters and only 0.5% are at Si sites ( $2 \times 10^{-4}$  are free), hence the slow diffusion. Linear heating releases vacancies from clusters and brings them into solution (6%) or into vacancy-Si complexes (9%).



Fig. S4. Analogous to Figs. 4c,d, but with vacancy-cluster interactions enabled as described in Sec. 4.4. The main effect is to make the curves appear flatter.



Fig. S5. Effect of a change of binding energy between Mg and vacancies  $\Delta H_b^{Mg}$  from -0.07 eV (Mantina's value used in this paper) to 0.01 eV (value suggested by Ref. [12]). Other parameters are still the ones given by Mantina, see Table S1. Therefore, the modified parameter set is not entirely consistent any more. The pre-factor  $p_{att}$  has been reduced to  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the original value thus ensuing that the clustering peak still has roughly the same height. The total precipitation course during linear heating (black lines) differs mainly in the high-temperature region. However, we see a shift of clustering activity from Si to Mg caused by the stronger trapping of vacancies by Mg atoms and the correspondingly enhanced Mg diffusion and precipitation. Most importantly, the dual structure of an excess-vacancy related low-temperature peak and a well separated high-temperature peak is not affected. Therefore, even if the binding energies are allowed to vary the conclusions of this paper remain valid.



Fig. S6. Fig. 7c of the main paper recalculated with the addition of 0.12 wt.% Cu using the diffusion data given by Ref. [1] as for Mg and Si. The influence of Cu diffusion is very small.

# References

- [1] M. Mantina, Y. Wang, L.Q. Chen, Z.K. Liu, C. Wolverton, First principles impurity diffusion coefficients, Acta Materialia 57 (2009) 4102-4108.
- [2] M. Mantina, Y. Wang, R. Arroyave, S.L. Shang, L.Q. Chen, Z.K. Liu, A first-principles approach to transition states of diffusion, Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter 24 (2012) 305402.
- [3] A. Jain, A. Glensk, D. Marchant, M. Ceriotti, W.A. Curtin, Vacancy prisons and solute clustering in aluminium alloys, International Conference on Aluminium Alloys ICAA, Oral presentation, 2020.
- [4] P. Dumitraschkewitz, P.J. Uggowitzer, S.S.A. Gerstl, J.F. Löffler, S. Pogatscher, Sizedependent diffusion controls natural aging in aluminium alloys, Nature Communications 10 (2019) 4746.
- [5] M. Madanat, M. Liu, X.-P. Zhang, Q.-N. Guo, J. Cizek, J. Banhart, Co-evolution of vacancies and solute clusters during artificial ageing of Al-Mg-Si alloys, Physical Review Materials 4 (2020) 063608.
- [6] A. Falahati, P. Lang, E. Kozeschnik, Precipitation in Al-alloy 6016 the role of excess vacancies, Materials Science Forum 706-709 (2012) 317-322.
- [7] A.J. Ardell, H. Reiss, W.D. Nix, Statistics of jogs on dislocations at equilibrium, Journal of Applied Physics 36 (1965) 1727-1732.
- [8] Z. Yang, X.H. Jiang, X.-P. Zhang, M. Liu, Z.Q. Liang, D. Leyvraz, J. Banhart, Natural ageing clustering under different quenching conditions in an Al-Mg-Si alloy, Scripta Materialia 190 (2021) 179–182.
- [9] M.J. Starink, L.F. Cao, P.A. Rometsch, A model for the thermodynamics of and strengthening due to co-clusters in Al-Mg-Si-based alloys, Acta Materialia 60 (2012) 4194-4207.
- [10] A. Poznak, R.K.W. Marceau, P.G. Sanders, Composition dependent thermal stability and evolution of solute clusters in Al-Mg-Si analyzed using atom probe tomography, Materials Science and Engineering A 721 (2018) 47-60.
- [11] M.F. Francis, W.A. Curtin, Microalloying for the controllable delay of precipitate formation in metal alloys., Acta Materialia 106 (2016) 117-128.
- [12] J. Peng, S. Bahl, A. Shyam, J.A. Haynes, D.W. Shin, Solute-vacancy clustering in aluminum, Acta Materialia 196 (2020) 747–758.
- [13] T. Saito, E.A. Mortsell, S. Wenner, C.D. Marioara, S.J. Andersen, J. Friis, K. Matsuda, R. Holmestad, Atomic Structures of Precipitates in Al-Mg-Si Alloys with Small Additions of Other Elements, Advanced Engineering Materials 20 (2018).
- [14] J. Buha, R.N. Lumley, A.G. Crosky, K. Hono, Secondary precipitation in an Al-Mg-Si-Cu alloy, Acta Materialia 55 (2007) 3015-3024.
- [15] L.F. Cao, P.A. Rometsch, M.J. Couper, Effect of pre-ageing and natural ageing on the paint bake response of alloy AA6181A, Materials Science and Engineering A 571 (2013) 77-82.
- [16] M.W. Zandbergen, Q. Xu, A. Cerezo, G.D.W. Smith, Study of precipitation in Al-Mg-Si alloys by atom probe tomography I. Microstructural changes as a function of ageing temperature, Acta Materialia 101 (2015) 136-148.